



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/524,930

02/16/2005

Michael Thieme

3352/IBG/US

1877

26304 7590 07/17/2008  
KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP  
575 MADISON AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585

EXAMINER

DEGA, MURALI K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4176

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/17/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/524,930	<b>Applicant(s)</b> THIEME ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MURALI K. DEGA	<b>Art Unit</b> 4176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) None is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 February 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20050216</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 12-16, 18, 19, 21, 25-27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scheidt et al. (US 6,845,453) hereinafter referred to as Scheidt.**

3. With respect to claim 1:

4. Scheidt discloses a method for processing biometric information, comprising the steps of:

- Capturing a biometric sample from a sensor (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, requires....users to submit....such as fingerprint scan, col. 2, ll. 23-42, biometric data.... Repeatedly and reliably captured*).

- Transmitting the biometric sample from the sensor to a processing component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, where capturing and transmitting biometric data for matching purposes is depicted*).
  - Processing the biometric sample by a first algorithm to yield a first template (*Figs 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, unique characteristics....of biometric instance are extracted to form a biometric template*).
  - Storing the first template with an associated record identifier in a storage component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).
  - Processing the biometric sample by a second algorithm to yield a second template (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, a subsequent biometric instance....to form a new template*).
  - Storing the second template in a storage component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2 ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).
  - Associating the second template with the record identifier (*Col. 7, ll. 10-23, where usage of user data to derive a unique identification is described*).
5. With respect to claim 2:
6. Scheidt discloses the method, further comprising the steps of:
- Processing the biometric sample by an additional algorithm to yield an additional template (*Col. 7, ll. 48-77, where a process of creating*

*additional templates from different biometric instances and a process of comparing different template values is described).*

- Associating additional templates with the record identifier (*Col. 7, ll. 10-23, where usage of user data to derive a unique identification is described*).
- Storing additional templates in storage units (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2 ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).

7. With respect to claim 6:

8. Scheidt discloses the algorithms are selected from the group of the following technologies: minutiae matching, pattern matching, vector line analysis, Eigenface and neural network processing (*Col. 2, ll. 43-57, where commercial solutions that use different methodologies to improve reliability of biometric authentication process is described*).

9. With respect to claim 7:

10. Scheidt discloses the template creation process is preceded by an image pre-processing step wherein the image is modified according to information in a vendor profile associated with the algorithm (*Col. 2, ll. 43-57, where use of commercial solutions with different methodologies are described*).

11. With respect to claim 12:

12. Scheidt discloses wherein the information in the vendor profile is selected from the group of following: image dimension, resolution, scale, speed, time, frequency, and

orientation (*Col. 12, ll. 25-33, For example, the present invention....biometric inputs on which to generate templates*).

13. With respect to claim 13:

14. Scheidt discloses wherein a fingerprint sample is captured on a livescan input device with at least 400 dots per square inch resolution (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5 indicate use of finger print reader*).

15. With respect to claim 14:

16. Scheidt discloses the templates are enrollment templates (*Col. 2, ll. 28-42, where the initial biometric template being stored as enrollment template and subsequent biometric new template being used as verification template is described*).

17. With respect to claim 15:

18. Scheidt discloses wherein the templates are match templates (*Col. 2, ll. 28-42, where the initial biometric template being stored as enrollment template and subsequent biometric new template being used as verification template is described*).

19. With respect to claim 16:

20. Scheidt discloses further the step of performing a template comparison against a plurality of enrollment templates (*Col. 2, ll. 28-42, comparing the stored enrollment templates with verification templates for user identification is disclosed*).

21. With respect to claim 18:

22. Scheidt discloses further the step of using a weighting algorithm to evaluate the results of the different template matches (*Col. 2, ll. 43-57, where use of commercial solutions with different methodologies to aid in matching process are described*).

23. With respect to claim 19:

24. Scheidt discloses further the step of generating one final result as to whether there is a match between the person represented by the given identifier and the verification template based upon the plurality of template matches (*Col. 10, ll. 28-42, where evaluation of templates for matching purposes is described*).

25. With respect to claim 21:

26. Scheidt discloses wherein the matches are performed locally in proximity to the sensor (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5 where user identification being performed using finger print data in proximity to reader*).

27. With respect to claim 26:

28. Scheidt discloses a method for processing biometric information, comprising the steps of:

- Retrieving a biometric sample from a storage component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5 where recovery of enrollment template is depicted*).
- Transmitting the biometric sample from the storage component to a processing component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5 where recovery and transmission for the comparison purposes is depicted*).

- Processing the biometric sample by a first algorithm to yield a first template (*Figs 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, unique characteristics....of biometric instance are extracted to form a biometric template*).
- Storing the first template with an associated record identifier in a storage component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).
- Processing the biometric sample by a second algorithm to yield another template (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, a subsequent biometric instance....to form a new template*).
- Storing the second template in a storage component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2 ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).
- Associating the second template with the record identifier (*Col. 7, ll. 10-23, where usage of user data to derive a unique identification is described*).

29. With respect to claim 27:

30. Scheidt discloses the method, further comprising the steps of:

- Processing the biometric sample by an additional algorithm to yield an additional template (*Col. 7, ll. 48-77, where a process of creating additional templates from different biometric instances and a process of comparing different template values is described*).
- Associating additional templates with the record identifier (*Col. 7, ll. 10-23, where usage of user data to derive a unique identification is described*).



- Storing additional templates in storage units (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2 ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

31. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

32. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

**33. Claims 3-5, 17, 20, 22-24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scheidt (US 6,845,453) as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 12-16, 18, 19, 21, 25-27 and further in view of Buffum et al. (US 20030037004) herein after referred to as Buffum.**

34. With respect to claim 3:

35. Scheidt discloses all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose

- Receiving request from a requesting authority to perform matching of templates or transmitting the template, if it is available, to the requesting authority

36. However, Buffum discloses

- receiving a request for a template from a requesting authority, wherein the request identifies the type of template needed to perform the match and a given record identifier determining from a plurality of storage units if the requested type of template is available for the given record identifier  
*(Abstract and fig. 1, where authentication server receiving a request from a third party requester to authenticate previously enrolled user using voice print is disclosed and comparing with a stored voice print is described)* and transmitting the template, if it is available, to the requesting authority  
*(Abstract and fig. 1, where the authentication results being reported to the user and the requesting authority is described).*
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication over a LAN or WAN or on web, in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

37. With respect to claim 4:

38. Scheidt discloses The method further comprising the steps of:

- Scheidt discloses the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose receiving request from a requesting authority to perform matching of templates. However, Buffum discloses receiving a request for a biometric verification from a requesting authority along with a match template, wherein the request identifies a given record identifier (*Abstract and fig. 1, where authentication server receiving a request from a third party requester to authenticate previously enrolled user using voice print is disclosed*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication over a LAN or WAN or on web, in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.
- Scheidt does not explicitly disclose locating stored enrollment template. However, Buffum discloses locating from a plurality of storage units an enrollment template associated with the record identifier that is compatible with the match template (*Abstract and fig. 1, where authentication server receiving a request from a third party requester to authenticate previously*

*enrolled user using voice print and comparing with a stored voice print is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

- Scheidt discloses performing a template comparison between the match template and the enrollment template (*Col. 2, ll. 23-42 where the process of comparing the enrollment template and verification template is described*).

39. With respect to claim 5:

40. Scheidt discloses the above claim limitations but does not explicitly disclose returning the results of the match to the requesting authority. However, Buffum teaches the step of returning the result of the template match to the requesting authority (*Abstract and fig. 1, where the authentication results being reported to the user and the requesting authority is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to transmit the matching results over a LAN or WAN or on web, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed

readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

41. With respect to claim 17:

42. Scheidt discloses the method further comprising the steps of:

- Scheidt discloses the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose receiving request from a requesting authority. However Buffum teaches receiving a request for a match from a requesting authority wherein the request identifies a given record identifier (*Abstract and fig. 1, where authentication server receiving a request from a third party requester to authenticate previously enrolled user using voice print is disclosed*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication, in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

- Scheidt does not explicitly disclose locating stored enrollment template. However, Buffum discloses locating from a plurality of storage units an enrollment template associated with the record identifier (*Abstract and fig. 1, where authentication server receiving a request from a third party*

*requester to authenticate previously enrolled user using voice print and comparing with a stored voice print is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

- Scheidt discloses performing a template comparison between the match template and the enrollment template (*Col. 2, ll. 23-42 where the process of comparing the enrollment template and verification template is described*).
- Scheidt does not explicitly disclose locating stored enrollment template. However, Buffum discloses locating from a plurality of storage units a second enrollment template associated with the record identifier (*Abstract and fig. 1, where authentication server receiving a request from a third party requester to authenticate previously enrolled user using voice print and comparing with a stored voice print is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to

fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

- Scheidt discloses performing a template comparison between the match template and the second enrollment template (*Col. 2, ll. 23-42 where the process of comparing the enrollment template and verification template is described*).

43. With respect to claim 20:

44. Scheidt discloses all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose the use of a centralized server. However, Buffum discloses the matches being performed on a centralized server (*Fig. 1 and abstract where usage of voice print authentication server is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to use a centralized server in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill an authentication request from a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

45. With respect to claim 22:

46. Scheidt discloses a method for processing biometric information, comprising the steps of:

- Capturing a biometric sample from a sensor (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, requires....users to submit....such as fingerprint scan, col. 2, ll. 23-42, biometric data.... Repeatedly and reliably captured*).
- Transmitting the biometric sample from the sensor to a storage component (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, where capturing and transmitting biometric data for matching purposes is depicted*).
- Processing the biometric sample by the appropriate algorithm to yield a template in the form as requested by the requesting authority (*Figs 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, unique characteristics....of biometric instance are extracted to form a biometric template*).
- Scheidt does not explicitly disclose receiving a request for a template from a requesting authority, wherein the request identifies the type of template needed to perform verification and a given record identifier. However, Buffum teaches (*Abstract and fig. 1*) where authentication server receiving a request from a third party requester to authenticate previously enrolled user using voice print is disclosed and comparing with a stored voice print is described. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to conduct biometric data authentication over a LAN or WAN or on web, in accordance with teachings of Buffum, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be



performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

47. With respect to claim 23:

48. Scheidt discloses all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose returning the results to the requesting authority. However, Buffum teaches the step of returning the result of the template match to the requesting authority (*Abstract and fig. 1, where the authentication results being reported to the user and the requesting authority is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to transmit the matching results over a LAN or WAN or on web, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

49. With respect to claim 24:

50. Scheidt discloses all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose returning the template to the requesting authority. However, Buffum teaches the step of returning the template to the requesting authority (*Abstract and fig. 1, where the authentication results being reported to the user and the requesting authority is described*). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to transmit the matching results over a LAN or WAN or on web, to fulfill a request from a third party

such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

51. With respect to claim 25:

52. Scheidt discloses comprising the step of performing a template comparison between the verification template and the enrollment template (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2 ll. 23-42, where comparing the two templates to determine user identification*).

53. With respect to claim 28:

54. Scheidt discloses a method for processing biometric information, comprising the steps of:

- Processing the biometric sample by a first algorithm to yield a first verification template for a given user (*Figs 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, unique characteristics....of biometric instance are extracted to form a biometric template*).
- Processing the biometric sample by a second algorithm to yield a second verification template for the same user (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, a subsequent biometric instance....to form a new template*).
- Upon successful match, storing the first verification template as an enrollment template associated the user (*Figs. 3, 4 and 5, col. 2, ll. 23-42, biometric template, which is stored as an enrollment template*).

- Scheidt does not explicitly disclose performing a match between the second verification template and a previously stored compatible enrollment template associated with the user. However, Buffum teaches (*Col. 2, ll. 23-42*) the process of comparing the enrollment template and verification template is described. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to transmit the matching results over a LAN or WAN or on web, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

**55. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Scheidt as applied to claims 1 and 7, and further in view of Kawan et al. (US 7,039,812) herein after referred to as Kawan.**

56. With respect to claim 8:

57. Scheidt disclose all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose the information in the vendor profile is selected from the group of following: image dimension, resolution, scale, speed, time, frequency, and orientation. However, Kawan teaches (*Col. 2, ll. 55-67*) the requesting authority directing the manner in which the user biometric sample to be presented for authentication. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the

system of Scheidt so as to use vendor profile in terms of image dimension, resolution, scale, speed, time, frequency, and orientation, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

58. With respect to claim 9:

59. Scheidt disclose all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose the vendor profile is created prior to the image pre-processing step based on features associated with a specific algorithm. However, Kawan teaches (*Col. 2, ll. 55-67*) presenting user samples in a predetermined sequence, technical equivalent of creating a vendor profile prior to image processing. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to creation of vendor profile prior to image processing, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

60. With respect to claim 10:

61. Scheidt disclose all of the above limitations but does not explicitly disclose extracting several different sub-samples form the sample by means superimposing geometric shapes on the original sample wherein such geometric shapes correspond with the vendor profile; and performing matches between templates created from the

sub-samples and enrollment templates. However, Kawan teaches (*Col. 1, ll. 35-46*) comparing, matching and making decisions based on predetermined parameters, (*Col. 2, ll. 55-67*) use of multiple biometric samples, which is functional equivalent of several different sub-samples being used. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to use predetermined parameters, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

62. With respect to claim 11:

63. Scheidt disclose all of the above limitations but do not explicitly disclose the geometric shapes being rectangles. However, Kawan teaches (*Col. 9, ll. 26-46*) presenting biometrics and other credentials in a suitable form. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to have modified the system of Scheidt so as to use a suitable form, to fulfill a request from a third party such as a requesting authority, since so doing could be performed readily and easily by any person of ordinary skill in the art, with neither undue experimentation nor risk of unexpected results.

***Conclusion***

64. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Publication No. 2001/0051924 by Uberti discloses use of biometrics in on-line financial transactions
- U.S. Patent No. 6011858 to Stock et al. discloses programmable memory card that holds biometric template and used in biometric verification system.
- U.S. Patent No. 7178025 to Scheidt et al, discloses multiple factor identification system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MURALI K. DEGA whose telephone number is (571) 270-5394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7.30 to 5.00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry O'Connor can be reached on (571) 272-6787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

/M. K. D./  
Examiner, Art Unit 4176

/Gerald J. O'Connor/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group Art Unit 4176